

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/660,054	09/11/2003		Shigeru Yamane	MAT-8260US1	7291
23122	7590	05/18/2006		EXAMINER	
RATNERP			DIXON, MERRICK L		
P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				1774	
				DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/660,054	YAMANE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Merrick Dixon	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on pream	ppeal 1-23-06.	,	
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>37,40,41,44,47,59,66,69,70,73 and 70</u>	6 is/are pending in the application	٦.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 37,40,41,44,47,59,66,69,70,73 and 76	<u>6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
	m		
	/ MERRIC	K DIXON	
Attachment(s)	PRIMARY I		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/660,054

Art Unit: 1774

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 37,47,59 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Haas et al(US 6224965). The cited reference to Haas et al(6224965) teaches the claimed invention comprising circuit board, with release layers thereon and holes therein- col 1, lines 31-40;col 3, lines 24-39; col 3, lines 54-67;col 4, lines 29-43;col 4, line 62- col 5, line 22; col 5, lines 56-60;col 6, lines 31-46; col 7, lines 52-65;col 10, lines 21-46.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 40,41,44,69,70,73 and 76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haas et al(US 6224965) in view if Nakatani et al(US 6096411). The primary reference to Haas et al teaches the claimed invention, as discussed above, inter alla. Although the reference manipulates its density throughout the reference,(see reference), the secondary reference more clearly shows this aspect-col 6, lines 11-19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to manipulate such disclosed densities of the references, in the absence of

Application/Control Number: 10/660,054

Art Unit: 1774

unexpected results motivated by the desire to impart desired properties to the product. Particularly as it is recognized that obtain an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art- In re Boesch 617, F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215(CCPA 1980).

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Haas et al(US 6530147) and Stewart et al(US 6906425) are cited of interest for their respective teachings as set forth and additionally to show the state of the art.

6

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 571-273-8300.

Information about **the status of an application** may be obtained from the Patent Information Retrieval system (**Private PAIR**).

Art Unit: 1774

Status inquires for **published applications** may be retrieved from either **Private PAIR** or **Public PAIR**. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at **866-217-9197**.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner

Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays, Wednesdays and Thursdays, between 12 noon and

8 PM, eastern time.

Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Group 1700